

Senate Steering Minutes
January 26, 2007
3:30 PM – HUB 204n

Respectfully submitted by Senate Secretary Alex Gwozda

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

Hala called the meeting to order at 3:42 PM.

Hala Dillsi – present
Mikhail Smirnov – present
Charles Cadwallader – present
Alex Gwozda - present
Sam Al-Khoury – present
Erin Shields – present
Rene Singleton – present
Cullen White – proxy by Laura Baird
Jessica Kang - present
Jono Hanks – present
Stephanie Adler – present
Melissa Aar – present
Selma Dillsi – present
Jon Solomon – present

II. Approval of the Agenda

Jon moved to add under general discussion as a. Quorum Discussion Roundtable with the opportunity for each person to speak once going around the table, followed by 15 minutes of general discussion by speaker's list.

Sam asked about the purpose.

Jon said it was a topic worth addressing, and worthwhile to have Senate leadership state their perspectives.

Sam asked the prompt.

Jon said it would be general thoughts about the quorum issue. He said each individual has 2 minutes.

Stephanie seconded. Without objection.

Charles moved to add item a. R-13-17 under legislative referrals. *Seconded. Without objection.*

Stephanie moved to approve the agenda as amended. *Charles* seconded. Without objection.

III. Chair's Report

Hala said she wanted to discuss with Senate leadership about the quorum issue, and now that is under general discussion. She said we can send an email to **Rene** for anonymous feedback, or contact any Senate officer. She wants no-one to feel uncomfortable about what they have to say.

Hala said Tuesday after Senate she said R-13-8 was faxed over to **Frank Chopp, Jamie Peterson, Ed Murray, and Lincoln Johnson**. She said **Cullen** hopes legislation submitted will be similar to the University's legislation.

Hala said handbooks should be into the office by Tuesday. She said each committee will get them. She asked each to be in a couple minutes before 4:30pm. She said **Jono, Jon,** and **Stephanie** would get them in the folders for sure since they will not be able to come beforehand.

Hala said officer evaluations were upcoming.

IV. Officers' Reports

Mikhail said the student conduct code resolution was amended by the board to include GPSS as a body we believe the administration should consult, perceived to be absolutely non-controversial.

Mikhail said to please get committee amendments to him, and thanked those who have.

Mikhail said a couple things in our online system don't let him update legislation properly. He said he's talking with **Josh Schripsema** to make the site much simpler. He said **Josh** said that should not take too long.

Mikhail said the database of student Senate opinion is 3-4 years old. He said he would like to get all that updated.

Sam asked if that condenses resolutions into statements.

Mikhail said yes.

Stephanie asked where they can find it.

Mikhail said he believes it is on the list at the left of the website, saying Student Opinion.

Mikhail said he has nothing about public forums.

Hala said **CK** from the resource center contacted her and Sam, and she told him he could do it either the next week after or the week after that depending on what happens today.

Mikhail said he has not heard from the others.

Charles asked about the next public forums next week.

Mikhail said we have **Trevor** of the Daily and **Laura Baird** possibly, as well.

Erin said **David Domke** would come on the first meeting of February briefly.

Jon said there's a link on the website that has membership list that is very out of date.

Melissa said leadership needs to be updated.

Alex said website has many things that can be renovated. He said he talked with **Josh**, asking what it would take to completely rework the site and simplify it, and **Josh** had said that would have to be a project next quarter. He said **Julia Moore** is more knowledgeable about design than the needed code.

Charles said he's very interested in having the website membership process updated, especially while Josh is still at the UW.

Erin suggested talking with **Sheridan Gray**, since he just made the GPSS website.

Hala said to send any website errors **Alex's** way.

Charles said he dealt with RSO's needing updated info. He said only the ROTC needed updated information on the SAO website. He told people to please any leave notes on his desk with their names signed.

Jon Solomon asked Charles if the list of represented groups was current, for the T-shirt design.

Charles said all the Senate officers will be auctioned before Valentine's Day, and everyone here is able to be auctioned.

Hala said it goes to charity.

Charles said he's looking at donating to DAWN.

Sam suggested Up 'Til Dawn, considering our legislation.

Charles said we could have a straw poll here.

Melissa said she talked to WashPIRG and they would be interested.

Erin said it would be more appropriate to have this decided later after letting people know we are looking to donate the proceeds and allowing senators to suggest charities.

Jono said we could decide right before the auction.

Charles said it would be nice to advertise what group we're donating to.

Hala suggested voting on that in Steering next week during his committee report.

Hala said she is meeting with **Trevor Klein** this weekend about working better with the Daily. She said it seems to be going very well and repairing our connection.

V. Legislative Referrals

R-13-17: A Resolution Supporting the Creation of an American Sign Language Program

Melissa said last week we talked a lot about legislation specifics that should be saved for first readings, and said she wasn't sure that we should discuss it that in-depth in Steering.

Chris Paredes said in the past a couple resolutions were submitted that showed that students wanted it to be created. He said this last week they announced they will be hiring someone for an American Sign Language Program.

Erin moved to refer to FAA. **Melissa** seconded. **Stephanie** objected.

Erin said it commends a faculty member, **Richard Ladner** and the administration.

Stephanie said she thought it was academic, but wasn't too concerned.

Jon said he also thought academic affairs would be appropriate, since it's related to the creation of an academic division.

Jono said every line mentions administration.

Jessica said they don't currently have legislation.

Mikhail moved to close debate. *Seconded. Without objection.*

R-13-17 is sent to FAA.

VI. Senate Public Forum on Board Bill on Senate Constitutional Amendments

Laura Baird said she was requesting to be under the Board of Directors' report or as a public forum. She said it is time-sensitive, and she's currently writing a board bill that deals with consultation after approval by Student Senate of an ASUW Constitutional Amendment. She said it clarifies the by-laws' process. She said there is currently nothing to the amendments article that includes the Senate. She said these amendments take a long time, because of going between the Board and Judicial. She said for clarity it is best to have before people begin proposing constitutional amendments.

Erin asked why a public forum next week is necessary, since it may take two weeks in Judicial.

Laura said she wanted a conversation with Senate before even going to the Board. She said there is no specified order of who to go to first. She said she wanted Senate fully aware and able to express opinions. She said she won't submit it until she talks with Senate.

Mikhail said this is very important, and said this is a chance to get senators involved, and it's crucial to do so with the Senate first. He said it should not take a long time, no more than 5-10 minutes to explain and 5-10 minutes for question, in front of Senate. He said we should not come to them at the last minute after the Board has made up its mind. He said he is not concerned how it is put on there.

Sam said **Mikhail** had many good points, and for efficiency and expediency it is great to consult Senate first.

Laura said she initially envisioned presenting what the bill would do, which should not take too long under the Board of Directors' report.

Hala asked exactly what it should look like.

Hala said having a public forum explicitly could help advertise that **Laura** wants feedback from senators. **Hala** acknowledged that we have another 20-minute forum with **Trevor**.

Selma asked if there's a way to clarify and divide the regular board report from **Laura's** section.

Sam suggested that **Mikhail, Erin, Laura,** and himself could speak about it.

Hala said that is the Board of Directors' time to answer questions that way.

Laura said if it's in the Board of Director's report

Jono suggested an item a. under the Board of Directors' report.

Mikhail said it should not take more than 10 minutes, it seems that we should not make a public forum out of it.

Erin said Board members have not seen the bill yet. She said the discussion may take a long time.

Laura said she envisioned it as very informal and informational, and to tell people to come to the Board meeting if they have more comment.

Stephanie said it should just go under the Board of Directors' report. She encouraged closing debate.

Jon moved to close discussion. *Seconded. Without objection.*

VII. Approval of the Agenda for 01-30-2007

Jono moved to insert a bullet under Board of Directors' Report titled Board of Directors Bill 1.04 Discussion with **Laura Baird** about the Senate Constitutional Amendment Process. *Selma seconded. Without objection.*

Erin said she was worrying about an extremely broad conduct code discussion.

Hala said she can send it out Monday night if she gets it from Laura.

Stephanie moved to place under the last items of New Business R-13-14 and R-13-11.

Stephanie said they were both passed last week.

Hala asked the order.

Stephanie said they looked at R-13-11 first, but she doesn't remember how they passed them.

Hala asked about time-sensitivity.

Stephanie said she had no reason for the order, and either would be fine.

Seconded. Without objection, R-13-11 then R-13-14 added under New Business.

Doug entertained a motion to add R-13-15 as item e. under New Business about Support of Equality Day, February 26th.

Charles moved. *Seconded. Stephanie objected.*

Charles said the 26th is some time away. He said some things are much older.

Stephanie said R-13-11 and R-13-14 are both not time-sensitive.

Jon said we take care of a lot of time-sensitive issues, and if some get rammed through again and again, others get pushed down.

Melissa said she agreed with **Jon**. She said from experience that the longer it's on the agenda, the better it advertises the event.

Jono said all of Senate can decide the proper order if needed.

Rod asked why numerical order is important.

Charles said things that are submitted first can deserve our attention first.

Hala said it is up to Steering to decide what is most important and time-sensitive.

A member moved to close debate. *Seconded. Without objection.*

Motion to place R-13-15 as item e. passes.

Melissa moved to make the public forum with **Trevor Klein** with 15 minutes.

Erin asked if he was told 20 minutes.

Hala said officers felt that 20 minutes would be important.

Hala said he would talk about a number of things including the process and structure of the Daily in regards to Senate, and that he wants time for feedback from Senate.

Melissa withdrew her motion.

Mikhail moved to strike a. nominations and election for a. Fair Trade Coffee Taskforce. *Seconded. Sam objected.*

Mikhail said there is no longer a fair trade coffee taskforce since they reconsidered the earlier bill.

Rod said all taskforces must have a Senate seat.

Mikhail said some Directors said explicitly they would not want this taskforce. He said judicial tabled it for a week.

Laura said they ran out of time in the middle of discussion.

Mikhail said right now it does not exist.

Doug asked why it would be referred to Judicial.

Erin said the taskforce had some concerns. She said it was whether ASUW taskforces can be on external as well as internal actions. She said it was following up on a Senate resolution, but she said concerns were brought up about. She said it was passed two weeks ago, and she brought it up again, because RHSA was concerned that they had no representation since they RHSA and HFS would be receiving recommendations. She said there were questions about whether that representation from a living group was allowed and whether that kind of taskforce was allowed.

Sam said this will probably happen no matter what, it is wise to have as much talk as possible, and Senate should be involved. He said we can recruit someone who wants to be involved as a liaison.

Stephanie said she doesn't really understand, and the person who is currently nominated, and he is an RHSA Senator. She said that might solve the problem.

Jono moved to close debate. *Sam seconded. Stephanie objected.*

Debate not closed, did not receive 2/3rds.

Erin said technically the fair trade coffee taskforce does not exist right now, and she would like that issue fixed. She said she was happy to get volunteers to deal with this.

Mikhail said the taskforce needs to go forward in a non-taskforce way. He said in the board report it should be brought up, and get people for involvement. He said nominations and elections are cumbersome if more are running, which is very complicated. He said he would have preferred to table until the Board of Directors knows what to do with this, and encouraged an amendment to the motion.

Hala said it's the same thing.

Mikhail said he does not want to remove the first nomination.

Sam said, to clarify, that we are just taking it off the agenda.

Hala said striking it now it can come up to resume the process, if Steering has that consensus. She said after a straw poll that it seemed the case.

Melissa moved to close debate. **Jon** seconded. *Without objection.*

Motion to strike the nomination from this agenda passes.

Travis Grandy said it would be about three weeks before it can come back to Senate.

Hala said she has received emails about some interested in working on this project. She said if anyone knows of more people, talk to Erin.

Sameer entertained a motion to switch R-13-7 and R-13-10 under Old Business because Lobby Day is more time-sensitive.

Jon moved. **Mikhail** seconded. **Stephanie** objected.

Jon said R-13-7 has already happened.

Stephanie said she feels sorry for the Up 'Til Dawn amendment, and doubts it will take much time at all.

Selma said Lobby Day is very important. She said it would take about 10 minutes, with little controversy.

Jessica moved to close debate. *Seconded. Without objection.*

Motion fails.

Hala entertained a motion to approve the agenda.

Selma moved. **Stephanie** seconded. *Without objection.*

VIII. Committee Appointments

Brynne Harker – Off-Campus Affairs

Jenifer Pesicka – General Affairs

Shawn Fisher – FAA

Charles moved place **Shawn** in FAA at his request, who is present at the meeting.

Hala said she thinks FAA is smaller, anyway, so that should work fine.

Seconded. Without objection.

IX. General Discussion

a. Quorum Discussion – Roundtable, then 15 minutes discussion

Jon said he wants to make sure we are forming student opinion. He said he finds himself disagreeing with how we went to a vote. He said, though, we had a good amount of student opinion expressed whether or not we had quorum at the end.

Rene said if someone calls for quorum it is the choice of the chair, and the body can challenge the chair. She said once they had five senators staying very late and no-one called quorum. She said sometimes hindering debate by quorum may be appropriate, and other times not, and it is up to us to decide.

Jono yielded until the end.

Charles said quorum is a tough issue at times. He said when he knows up to 11 people are proxied and there are only thirty people in the room, it's a tough decision. He said it is our duty to be representative. He said it comes down to being students first, who may need to study and commute.

Jessica said quorum is a bad way to end the meeting. She said as a commuter it does take about an hour to get home. She said she cannot stay. She usually leaves thinking that generally Senate has agreed more or less on something in the midst of discussion.

Erin said working as an officer last year, it was rarely clear when a meeting should end. She said if 7 PM is our goal time, maybe we should be very realistic about what we can accomplish. She said for instance, we should try to be clear about exactly what we want to complete by the end of each meeting. She said it's important for senators to know

about how far we are going to get, rather than the whole agenda. She said the other Senates think we're crazy for having meetings every week instead of once a month like GPSS and Faculty have. She said it's important for all leadership in this room to set the precedent. She said we probably need to work on improving our clarity about our goals and that we support them. She said the middle of the meeting may not be the time to call other officers out. She wanted us to honor each other for how much time we invest in Senate. She said she talked with **Cullen** during the meeting after the comment he made, and she said Cullen said he would take what we had at the time. She said perhaps we needed a week, and there is some room for flexibility and we can work with each other a bit more. She said Senate needs to know what options are available when it comes to legislation - we always have options.

Selma said she agreed with the idea of the meeting being whole and focused. She said she's afraid that people think it may be our only tool when it is not. She said some people are dedicated, but she said she was confused by the email. She said she heard no-one really mention they wanted to call quorum.

Melissa said she studies communications, and she said procedural issues are not best decided in a room of 100 people. She said those tiny details are hard to dictate in a group. She said she was concerned about the many tangents that arise. **Melissa** said the GPSS has an executive committee that deals with many details that Senate may not need to do. She wanted to repeat Rene's point about people remaining in the meeting, and those that want to participate in the democracy are staying to participate. She said she liked the option of handing a placard to someone as you leave the meetings. She said someone in her committee was confronted after the resolution for wanting to call quorum. She said we should be able to call quorum if we want to, and not feel intimidated.

Cullen yielded until the end.

Sam said he said the call for quorum is used to protect the process to ensure representation, to make sure that the Senate is actually a Senate and not just a voting bloc. He said using the quorum is used to protect, and to frame the question properly we should ask why we need to be calling quorum. He said getting mad at someone for calling quorum is not appropriate, and it's appropriate to figure out why we do not have quorum and address that issue.

Stephanie said she gets frustrated at discussions that take 2-3 hours and on the brink of voting, and then somebody calls quorum. She said it makes the entire three hours of one's life feel wasted, since it was so hard to continue next week. She felt the 520 issue was called prematurely. She said the other night she felt that it was not a bad leadership call. She reiterated setting goals, not repeating each other, not spending time on procedure like how we vote.

Mikhail said quorum is to ensure a democratic dialogue with everybody, and the representative vote. He questioned that a handful would represent everyone at the UW. He said he is not sure that 40% is the best benchmark, but that is set. He said he felt very uncomfortable that night, with a lot of pressure not to call quorum, and he heard from senators that they felt pressured not to call quorum. He said he got perhaps 10-12 responses afterwards that agreed that they felt intimidated, because of how themselves or others have been treated when they call quorum. He said this rule is there for a very good reason. He said it was not a good leadership call to break a rule.

Alex said he sees the quorum issue as a balance between having the full democratic discussion and ensuring a representative vote at the end. He said he agreed with Erin that goals may need to be more focused, and with Sam that the problem is not about calling quorum, but about why we are losing quorum numbers.

Hala said all things should be brought to the floor. She said unless it is extremely out there, it will not be ignored, and specifically a quorum call. She asked what we should do now to move forward, and what responsibility our committee chairs will have. She asked if committee chairs can keep aware of the room. She said it was split about 50-50 on opinions of whether we did the right thing. She said this happened last quarter. She said she tends to agree that calling quorum is not the best way to end a discussion. She said perhaps a tabling, or adjourning with announcements, can close debate effectively. She said she was valuing finding another way to end the meeting. She said when a motion to table was made, it was failed unanimously with a couple abstentions. She said that seems to show people did not want to leave, perhaps feeling that they had to pass it or leave it up to whatever Cullen's discretion would be. She said she left the meeting feeling that we had made a decision, since she heard nothing from Senate officers or others. She said she felt it did not change the overall opinion, and we gave the dissenters ample opportunity to speak up. She asked if quorum should be called if people are on the same page. She said she agrees with everyone about wanting a threshold. She said she is doing the best she can to facilitate the meeting, and to make sure motions and opinions are heard. She said she can always be challenged and addressed as a person, and have that right to disagree, and Senate is a place to do it, or Steering or Rene. She said she tries to use Chair's Reports to express her values of how she conducts the meeting. She commended Sam for coming and talking to her if he has an opinion or criticism. She said she does not feel she is in too high a position for senators to speak with her. She said we are free to send notes during the meeting.

Jono said he has been thinking about the percentage of 40%. He said he is not sure that is the best way. He said that could just be all the RHSA senators who are able to stay the whole time, when commuter senators need to leave. He suggested having quorum called by a percentage of each group.

Jono said some people in front seemed to want to call quorum, and there was a muttering against that, and then he heard from the chair that "no, let's not call quorum". He said

the tabling vote came right after Cullen's announcement that he would make the decision if there was no Senate opinion.

Cullen said it was pretty obvious what direction he would have taken regarding the conduct code without a decision. He said quorum is there to protect the democratic process, and if that is stifled it is not democratic. He said there is the issue of time, and sometime there is a large amount of time spent on amending the agenda. He said Senate is much too formal, and he believes votes should be able to be proxied more informally. He said the concept of having it formalized makes it last much longer than it should, and all of us could do a better job keeping our reports shorter, including the chair and himself. He said in regards to **Hala** and **Mikhail** there is definitely not enough compromise and give and take. He said if we want meetings to run smoothly, we will have to compromise, and it is currently not happening. He said when people come and talk with each of you, it is one thing to listen, and another to actually manage to compromise and set goals. He said we should be churning out legislation. He said the bigger issue is how fast we are all working together as a team to respectfully facilitate debate. He said this Steering meeting should last about an hour, and everybody should be fine with the agenda in Senate. He said it is not about quorum, but making sure everything runs smoothly.

Doug McManaway said **Cullen** addressed many important issues head-on, and many tensions in Senate including between people. He said we need direct communication about these issues just to be polite or follow procedure.

Sameer apologized for being long-winded sometimes. He said the single thing to increase the use of Senate forum. He said he understands the need for maintaining the democratic process. He said if we schedule 1.5 hours or less, we might actually complete in 2 hours. He said if we give prisoners forks, metaphorically, they may stab each other rather than eat; that tools may be used for things they are not intended. He said in response to **Mikhail**, if 5 people are present, it's partially the people's fault for choosing representatives who will bail out. He said if we choose a senator, we're choosing that person for reliable representation. He said when the president says a State of the Union, if the vice president is looking bored, sometimes leadership needs to disagree in private in order to maintain the appearance of a unified leadership. He said we can restrict quorum to between agenda items, or require a minimum number agreeing to call quorum. He said we can modify Senate rules for a more productive Senate time, i.e. speaking faster and having less speaking time. He said he agrees very strongly with **Jono** that if someone wants to ask another senator to not call quorum, that is okay and should not be an issue.

Jon Solomon said he appreciates this discussion. He said quorum now carries a stigma because of its use in precedent. He said people don't stay when are meetings too long, and people are afraid of making mistakes or not being listened to. He said new people

are afraid to speak up. He said he heartily approves of Senate Buddies to know that someone is supportive of you and not be shot down.

Selma asked **Hala** and **Mikhail** to please communicate and deal with issues in the “backyard” and present a unified front in Senate.

Melissa said she thinks we have many personal agendas in Senate, not just leadership. She encourages Senate leadership and committee chairs to encourage people to speak up more. She reminded Steering that the GPSS has a very effective GPSS executive committee. She was not sure of the structure, and whether Steering should be that body. She said a huge number of issues have come up just from the issue of quorum. She said Senate is pretty new. She said we need to encourage preparation ahead of time. She said there are many repeated comments. She said committees should make sure they are preparing things in depth. She said it was very helpful to have **Cullen’s** amendment available somewhat ahead of time. She said amendments could be worked on outside the meeting.

Laura Baird said Senate meetings this year are half an hour longer. She said she feels very afraid of public forums in Senate, because there are certain people in Senate with personal agendas, and have not learned the interpersonal skills to present things in a non-confronting manner. She said we know who those people are, and those people need to be pulled aside and recognized as students, and we have a process and committees to send things to for rulings. She said to stop attacking people personally for presenting something. She said there is a lot of animosity this year, and there should not be, since they really are just words. She said now we need to just deal with things directly. She said she is done with faking it.

Shawn Fisher said he loves Senate, and he said this last week was a frustration, and felt he pressured people not to call quorum or table. He said as a commuter senator his obligations to people are broadly defined. He said the chair giving her opinion is not appropriate, and believes she knows that now. He said **Mikhail** should never have sent that email through the listserv. He said the editorial was also stated within the regular job of Senate Summary. He said it could have gone even to Senate or the online forum, but it was not appropriate there.

Rene said she wants people to go away and think about what they will do, and wants no-one to have a chip on their shoulder. She said next week this will be measured by further feedback. She said our predecessors left us with a lot of junk. She said so much of our procedure is based on interpersonal relationships to make them behavior. She said having no copies of resolutions makes it difficult to for most senators to follow. She said people are not required to print copies. She said it takes 5-6 minutes to read a resolution, and no-one questions it. She said students made these rules. She said tens years ago it is okay to change our own rules. She said these by-laws were started in 1993. She said as long as we collectively think the changes are good, we should give ourselves permission

for things to change. She said maybe people in Steering need to step up. She said we don't need a meeting to just grab people and teach them how to write a resolution, or give feedback.

Charles said **Rene's** comments were excellent. He said if we want to amend the by-laws let us do it now.

Sam said **Melissa** mentioned just now a by-law party where we each take an issue. He said we had talked about goals of Senate. He said his goals as a representative forum for student opinion, and a safe environment with respectful disagreement. He said there should never be a conflict between getting things done and calling quorum. He said one of our goals is having a safe environment, and there is enough pressure and intimidation in the rest of life.

Hala said she wants everyone to feel comfortable, and she believes she said if she wants to end the meeting they should table. She said maybe it was her mistake to emphasize tabling as better than quorum, but she wanted that not be. She apologized for anyone feeling uncomfortable, and if anyone had called quorum, of course it would have been heard. She said she said that not to not adjourn the meeting, but to use the other procedure of tabling. She said she wanted to talk about the idea of Senate's role in the association. She said the reports are not a time to just address someone, and she said it should be a time for senators to questions what we do and how we represent them. She said other than she is not talking in the meeting, and the chair's report is a time to call her out. She said to not shy away from friction that may arise. She said she does not know why people feel intimidated, and sad that is the case. She said people can ask her what she is doing with her job, and she does not want it a ceremony to talk about events. She apologized for any hard feelings, and she wishes to correct wherever she may be in the wrong, and that was not her attention to stifle end of the meeting.

Mikhail said some people considered that he should have sent two emails. He said the Senate Summary is very short, and he wanted to minimize the number of total emails, and he had thought putting spaces and labeling it Personal was sufficient. He said he wanted people to have prior knowledge of what he felt the problem was.

Alex moved to close debate. *Seconded. Jon objected.*

Debate continues.

Doug said it is good that we realized we hit this wall, and need to reassess. He said we need to come back next time willing to talk about these issues.

Sameer said it is like chemotherapy, it is not pleasant, but to utilize this time. He said it could be simply in numerical order. He said during Senate he is not sure if the procedural

focus is the leadership or senators. He said if the coach is not listened to by the players, it is a case-by-case issue of who is at fault.

X. Approval of the Minutes

Jono moved to approve Steering minutes as amended. *Seconded. Without objection.*

Jono moved to table the Senate minutes.

Jono said he does not think we have enough time to look at all the changes.

Mikhail said if it is around the office, since it is mostly be about the ASUW, and he would not like mistakes in the original minutes to be available openly at the ASUW office. He said amendments should not be too controversial.

Hala suggested coming early to Steering next week.

Charles said all minutes are in the office anyway.

Rene asked why there is an issue.

Hala said we could have it in a drawer.

Sam moved to close debate on the motion to table. *Seconded. Without objection.*

Senate minutes tabled.

Mikhail asked for a list of what's controversial.

XI. Adjournment

Adjourned at c. 6:25 PM.